



MISERY LOVES COMPANY: AN INVESTIGATION OF COUPLES' INTERROLE CONFLICT CONGRUENCE

Journal:	<i>Academy of Management Journal</i>
Manuscript ID	AMJ-2016-0395.R2
Manuscript Type:	Revision
Keywords:	Work and family < Human Resource Management and Industrial Relations < Topic Areas, Stress, strain, and well-being < Attitudes, Cognitions, and Affect < Organizational Behavior < Topic Areas, Survey < Quantitative Orientation < Research Methods
Abstract:	<p>Previous research on interrole (family-to-work and work-to-family) conflict has demonstrated that such conflict is detrimental for outcomes in the work and home domains for employees and their family members. Although research has begun to integrate multiple parties into the interrole conflict literature, studies overlook how employee interrole conflict and partner interrole conflict can jointly influence employee outcomes. We advance work-family research by integrating balance theory with the interrole conflict literature to investigate dyadic interrole conflict congruence and challenge the implicit assumption that less interrole conflict always results in superior outcomes. Using a polynomial regression analysis of 141 employee and romantic partner dyads, we demonstrate that congruence between couples' experiences of family-to-work (but not work-to-family) conflict is positively associated with balance satisfaction and ultimately employee job satisfaction and partner relationship satisfaction. Thus, when it comes to balance satisfaction and its downstream correlates, the harmful effects of high family-to-work conflict (FWC) are largely mitigated if an employee's partner shares a similarly high level of FWC, and the beneficial effects of low FWC are largely eliminated if an employee's partner does not share a similarly low level of FWC.</p>

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Misery Loves Company: An Investigation of Couples' Interrole Conflict Congruence

Kelly Schwind Wilson

Purdue University
kellysw@purdue.edu

Heidi M. Baumann

Bradley University
hbaumann@bradley.edu

Fadel K. Matta

University of Georgia
fmatta@uga.edu

Remus Ilies

The National University of Singapore
ilies@nus.edu.sg

Ellen Ernst Kossek

Purdue University
ekossek@purdue.edu

1
2
3 **MISERY LOVES COMPANY: AN INVESTIGATION OF COUPLES' INTERROLE**
4 **CONFLICT CONGRUENCE**
5
6
7

8 **ABSTRACT**

9 Previous research on interrole (family-to-work and work-to-family) conflict has demonstrated
10 that such conflict is detrimental for outcomes in the work and home domains for employees and
11 their family members. Although research has begun to integrate multiple parties into the interrole
12 conflict literature, studies overlook how employee interrole conflict and partner interrole conflict
13 can jointly influence employee outcomes. We advance work-family research by integrating
14 balance theory with the interrole conflict literature to investigate dyadic interrole conflict
15 congruence and challenge the implicit assumption that less interrole conflict always results in
16 superior outcomes. Using a polynomial regression analysis of 141 employee and romantic
17 partner dyads, we demonstrate that congruence between couples' experiences of family-to-work
18 (but not work-to-family) conflict is positively associated with balance satisfaction and ultimately
19 employee job satisfaction and partner relationship satisfaction. Thus, when it comes to balance
20 satisfaction and its downstream correlates, the harmful effects of high family-to-work conflict
21 (FWC) are largely mitigated if an employee's partner shares a similarly high level of FWC, and
22 the beneficial effects of low FWC are largely eliminated if an employee's partner does not share
23 a similarly low level of FWC.
24
25
26

27
28 *Keywords:* work-family conflict, interrole conflict, well-being, congruence, balance
29
30
31

32 Issues related to work and family continue to receive attention globally; for example, the
33 vast majority of countries around the world now have laws concerning paid parental leave
34 (Deahl, 2016), and some nations hold summits on working families (Holst, 2014). Similarly,
35 research devoted to the intersection of work and family continues to flourish, including key
36 constructs such as interrole conflict (e.g., Greenhaus & Beutell, 1985; Kahn, Wolfe, Quinn,
37 Snoek & Rosenthal, 1964). The bi-directional approach to interrole conflict outlines two related,
38 yet distinct forms: family-to-work conflict (FWC) and work-to-family conflict (WFC;
39 Netemeyer, Boles & McMurrian, 1996). FWC occurs when time spent on or general demands
40 and strain created by the family interfere with performing one's job, and WFC occurs when
41 demands and strain from or time consumed by work interfere with family responsibilities
42 (Netemeyer et al., 1996). Previous research and reviews focused on findings from individual
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 employees conclude that negative relationships exist between interrole conflict and
4
5 organizational commitment, job satisfaction, life satisfaction, health, and work performance
6
7 (Netemeyer et al., 1996; Kossek & Ozeki, 1998; Mesmer-Magnus & Viswesvaran, 2005; Frone,
8
9 Yardley & Markel, 1997). However, because researchers (and likely many practitioners) often
10
11 simplistically view having more interrole conflict as always more detrimental for work and
12
13 family outcomes, new research that challenges this conventional wisdom is particularly
14
15 important to provide nuanced insights to help employed couples and families.
16
17
18

19
20 Recently, literature devoted to interrole conflict has expanded to include couples and
21
22 significant other (SO)¹ perspectives in addition to focal employee perceptions, which is
23
24 consistent with family systems theory (Hammer, Bauer & Grandey, 2003; Hammer, Neal,
25
26 Newsom, Brockwood & Colton, 2005). While such research emphasizes the value of studying
27
28 interrole conflict within the broader system or social context employees work and live within
29
30 (i.e., work and home; Bronfenbrenner, 1977; Kossek, 2015), these studies typically examine SO
31
32 ratings of the *focal employee's* conflict-related experiences and ignore the SO's *own* conflict
33
34 (e.g., Ilies, Schwind, Wagner, Johnson, DeRue & Ilgen, 2007; Wayne, Casper, Matthews &
35
36 Allen, 2013). Thus, they overlook the dyadic interplay between both partners' experiences of
37
38 work-family conflict. In order to capture a more complete understanding of couples' family
39
40 context, as family systems theory would advocate (Hammer et al., 2003), we aim to examine
41
42 couples' work-family conflict experiences at the couple- or dyad-level. This approach is in-line
43
44 with Casper and colleagues recommendation to consider levels of analysis other than the
45
46 individual, given that nearly 90 percent of work-family research has been conducted at the
47
48 individual level (Casper, Eby, Bordeaux, Lockwood, & Lambert, 2007).
49
50
51
52
53
54
55

56
57 ¹ The present research investigates couples, which include two significant others or partners who share a romantic
58 relationship.
59
60

1
2
3 The present research offers a new perspective by specifically examining dyadic interrole
4 conflict congruence (i.e., congruence in terms of the similarity in levels of WFC or FWC for
5 dyad members—in this case, employee and SO). Distinct from the crossover literature, which
6 investigates one type of dyadic effect (i.e., a partner effect involving the cross over or contagion
7 of stress across individuals; see Gooty and Yammarino, 2011; Westman, 2002), we study how
8 employee interrole conflict and SO interrole conflict can jointly or *simultaneously* influence
9 outcomes (i.e., a mutual influence effect; see Gooty & Yammarino, 2011). In other words, both
10 individuals in a couple may concurrently struggle, neither may struggle, or only one member
11 may struggle to fulfill work and family demands. An example of FWC congruence would be
12 when both members of a couple experience interruptions at work due to various family-related
13 responsibilities; such as one member being the primary daycare contact who oftentimes receives
14 a call at the office when a child is sick, while the other is the main contact for the assisted living
15 facility and is called when elder care responsibilities arise for an aging parent. Alternatively, an
16 example of incongruence would involve one partner being the primary contact for their child and
17 parent and the one who receives phone calls in both situations, while the other partner does not
18 experience interruptions at work.

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41 When predicting the effects of various combinations of employees' conflict levels and
42 SOs' conflict levels, two outcomes seem possible. On one hand, the current consensus in the
43 literature is that less conflict is generally good and more conflict is generally bad. A
44 generalization of this consensus would suggest that average levels of conflict amongst couples
45 will be the primary driver of outcomes. On the other hand, arguments grounded in balance theory
46 (Heider, 1958) would propose that it is also important that couples share the load in terms of the
47 degree to which the family (work) role infringes upon each member's work (family) role (i.e.,
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 family members desire equivalent levels of interrole conflict in either direction). In this article,
4
5 we take the latter position, which challenges the current consensus that less conflict always
6
7 results in superior outcomes, and demonstrate that the outcomes of an individual's own level of
8
9 interrole conflict vary based on the level of interrole conflict his/her SO experiences. We rely on
10
11 balance theory (Heider, 1958) as our overarching theoretical framework, which highlights that
12
13 individuals strive to maintain a state of balance in their lives, especially in interpersonal relations
14
15 (Heider, 1958). This theory suggests that states of balance, in this case partners' similarity in
16
17 interrole conflict, promote harmony and satisfaction, whereas states of imbalance promote
18
19 disharmony and tension. At the extreme, we posit that it may be the case that it is more satisfying
20
21 for an employee and an SO to both experience a high level of interrole conflict (and both manage
22
23 high workloads, for example) rather than to have only one party experience high conflict and the
24
25 other party experience low conflict.
26
27
28
29
30

31
32 Integrating balance theory principles with family systems theory's focus on the broader
33
34 family context (including the couple) as a source for understanding attitudes of members within a
35
36 family, we explore the focal employee's balance satisfaction as a proximal outcome of the
37
38 interplay between employee and SO levels of interrole conflict. Balance satisfaction refers to the
39
40 overall level of satisfaction an individual feels in regard to his/her success at fulfilling both work
41
42 and family demands (Valcour, 2007). Importantly, satisfaction with balance does not indicate
43
44 directionality (e.g., from work-to-family or family-to-work) and is holistic in nature (Valcour,
45
46 2007), allowing for a broader view of employees' evaluations concerning the intersection
47
48 between work and family. This more global assessment of work and family is particularly
49
50 relevant in the present research given our focus on the family context as a whole (i.e., both
51
52 working partners' interrole conflict experiences). In terms of more distal outcomes, we focus on
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 job satisfaction and SO relationship satisfaction because of their relevance to the conflict
4
5 literature (Eby, Casper, Lockwood, Bordeaux & Brinley, 2005; Frone et al., 1997; Mesmer-
6
7 Magnus & Viswesvaran, 2005), balance theory (Heider, 1958; Korman, 1970), as well as their
8
9 attitudinal compatibility with balance satisfaction (see Wayne, Butts, Casper & Allen, 2017).
10
11

12 Overall, the simultaneous examination of employee and SO interrole conflict holds
13
14 several notable theoretical contributions. First, we expand theory on work and family by
15
16 introducing the notion of dyadic interrole conflict congruence. This perspective extends beyond
17
18 the existing focus on individual-level conflict, to advance a dyadic approach involving
19
20 congruence in partners' interrole conflict. Dyadic research appears rarely in the work and family
21
22 literature (Casper et al., 2007), yet these investigations can help address important theoretical
23
24 insights. Indeed, our theory and results demonstrate that the consideration of employee and SO
25
26 interrole conflict congruence challenges many individual-level conflict assumptions and
27
28 findings. Following this, second, we refine theory on interrole conflict by specifying a critical
29
30 boundary condition (i.e., SO's conflict). That is, the present study offers new insights regarding
31
32 whether low interrole conflict really is always the best case scenario for employees when one
33
34 takes into account employees' family members. Specifically, an SO's interrole conflict provides
35
36 relevant information that may frame the employee's own experience of interrole conflict and
37
38 hold implications for employee outcomes. This idea is consistent with the family systems theory
39
40 tenet that we can learn more if we study the experiences of family members, "than if we simply
41
42 study each family member individually" (Hammer et al., 2003: 423). Finally, we contribute to
43
44 research on the relationship between interrole conflict and work-family balance by showing that
45
46 congruence between partners matters for judgments concerning employee balance satisfaction,
47
48 beyond simply the level of conflict experienced by employees. The nature of this relationship has
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 been somewhat elusive with studies reaching differing conclusions (Greenhaus & Allen, 2011),
4
5 and the current research suggests this may be a result of the complexity of the relationship,
6
7
8 namely, the need to consider SOs' conflict as a key contextual factor. These novel dyadic
9
10 interrole conflict congruence findings pertaining to employee balance satisfaction, and ultimately
11
12 well-being at work and at home, enhance our understanding of interrole conflict and hold
13
14 important implications for organizations and families.
15
16

17 18 **THEORY AND HYPOTHESES**

19
20 Family systems theory highlights the importance of the family context for understanding
21
22 an individual's behavior in the family and work roles (Hammer et al., 2003). This perspective
23
24 proposes that attitudes and behaviors are significantly influenced by family members' attitudes
25
26 and behaviors (Hammer et al., 2005), which suggests that employees will be affected by their
27
28 SOs' interrole conflict experiences. In order to explain the specific manner in which an employee
29
30 may be impacted by his/her SO's conflict, we turn to balance theory. Heider (1958) posited that
31
32 individuals strive to maintain balanced states. A balanced state refers to "a harmonious state, one
33
34 in which the entities comprising the situation and the feelings about them fit together without
35
36 stress" (Heider, 1958: 180). Entities include objects an individual owns or uses, something
37
38 produced as a result of an individual's actions (e.g., a poem), or other people (Heider, 1958).
39
40 Hence, a balanced state involves associated entities (those that belong together) and consistent
41
42 sentiments (aligned feelings, attitudes or evaluative responses towards entities; Crano & Cooper,
43
44 1973; Heider, 1958). A core tenet of balance theory is that people prefer balanced states and that
45
46 imbalance produces tension or feelings of disturbance and pressure for change.
47
48
49
50
51

52
53 In terms of entities, balance theory proposes that different types of entity relationships
54
55 can form. Importantly, Heider (1958) provides a key example – members of a family. This
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 suggests that significant others comprise a unit, or entity relationship, and that individuals prefer
4 such relationships to develop and maintain a balanced or harmonious state. The experienced
5 sentiments within such units include individuals' feelings towards each other (e.g. liking the
6 other person) and also individuals' evaluations regarding impersonal entities (e.g., attitudes
7 towards objects and actions in the environment). In our context, interrole conflict is the
8 impersonal entity against which sentiments are drawn. Sentiments are influenced by a variety of
9 situations, behaviors and emotions, and the relationship between entity relations and sentiments
10 in balance theory is stated as follows: "we tend to like people who have the same beliefs and
11 attitudes as we have, and when we like people, we want them to have the same attitudes we
12 have" (Heider, 1958: 195). Altogether, a balanced state occurs when partners in a committed
13 relationship (associated entities) hold similar views related to making sacrifices for work or
14 family and experience congruent levels of WFC or FWC (consistent sentiments). Incongruence
15 in conflict would represent an unbalanced state where the employee experiences tension and
16 disturbance (Heider, 1958), which may result in negative implications for this individual both at
17 home and at work. In summary, grounded in balance theory, the present research explores
18 whether the interplay between employees' own and their SOs' own interrole conflict will impact
19 various attitudinal outcomes (e.g., satisfaction-related outcomes).

20 **Balance Theory, Interrole Congruence, and Balance Satisfaction**

21
22 Using the core balance theory tenet that balance results in satisfaction (Heider, 1958), we
23 first posit that interrole conflict congruence will result in satisfaction with work-family balance,
24 also known as balance satisfaction (Valcour, 2007; Wayne et al., 2017). In other words, we posit
25 that as interrole conflict congruence increases in couples – i.e., moves from more incongruent
26 conditions (e.g., one member of the couple has higher interrole conflict than the other) toward
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 more congruent conditions (e.g., both members have similar levels of low or high interrole
4
5 conflict) – employees will experience higher levels of balance satisfaction. Conversely, as
6
7 interrole conflict congruence decreases in couples – i.e., moves from more congruent conditions
8
9 (e.g., both members have similar levels of low or high interrole conflict) toward more
10
11 incongruent conditions (e.g., one member of the couple has higher interrole conflict than the
12
13 other) – employees’ balance satisfaction should decrease.
14
15

16
17 Balance theory suggests that an implicit goal of interpersonal relationships is to achieve a
18
19 balance in sentiments (Treadway, Ferris, Duke, Adams & Thatcher, 2007). At the dyadic level,
20
21 Heider (1958: 202) postulated that “a dyad is balanced if the relations between two entities are
22
23 all positive...or all negative...disharmony results when relations of different signs exist.”
24
25 Newcomb (1953) stressed the advantages of symmetry between two individuals’ points of view
26
27 regarding a target, and he assumed that people experience a consistent ‘strain towards
28
29 symmetry’. If dyads do not experience balance or congruence in their experiences (of interrole
30
31 conflict), then tension and the desire for change will result (Heider, 1958). In other words,
32
33 couples want symmetry in the sacrifices each individual makes for their work or family, and for
34
35 example, when family demands or obligations only infringe on one party’s work, imbalance
36
37 creates tension and dissatisfaction.
38
39
40
41
42

43
44 We focus on employees’ satisfaction with balance as our proximal outcome of interest,
45
46 which is defined as an attitude that refers to the “overall level of contentment resulting from an
47
48 assessment of one’s degree of success at meeting work and family role demands” (Valcour,
49
50 2007: 1512). The present focus on dyads or both partners’ interrole conflict is important as
51
52 perceptions of balance satisfaction are formed through complex interactions between
53
54 environmental and psychosocial factors (Grawitch, Maloney, Barber, & Mooshegian, 2013).
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 This suggests that the configuration of the couple's interrole conflict experiences may represent a
4
5 key factor in employees' balance satisfaction perceptions.
6
7

8 Interrole conflict congruence represents a balanced state where similar sentiments exist
9
10 between an employee and his/her SO in relation to interference between work and family roles.
11
12 An advantage of similarity in views is that it provides validation for one's own cognitive
13
14 orientation towards an entity (Heider, 1958; Newcomb, 1953). Therefore, in a state of interrole
15
16 conflict congruence, employees' own decisions related to work and family are validated by their
17
18 SOs' conflict. Take, for instance, a condition where an employee experiences high WFC. If an
19
20 SO also experiences high WFC, the employee may feel justified in his/her efforts within the
21
22 work and family domains given that his/her SO demonstrates similar challenges in meeting both
23
24 work and family demands. Furthermore, in this situation, the person's own resource allocation
25
26 decisions towards work and family are validated by his/her SO's resource allocations, which is
27
28 important as balance satisfaction involves "the general feeling that resources are being allocated
29
30 appropriately" (Grawitch et al., 2013, p.278). Conversely, when an employee experiences high
31
32 WFC and his/her SO experiences low WFC, this results in an imbalanced state where tension is
33
34 felt by the employee (Heider, 1958). Thus, this individual may question his/her resource
35
36 allocation between work and family, diminishing feelings of balance satisfaction (Valcour,
37
38 2007).
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 These ideas are aligned with notions concerning the importance of congruence, or fit,
46
47 between an employee and his/her work and family environments for achieving work-family
48
49 balance (Voydanoff, 2005). In particular, interrole conflict congruence may indicate a good fit
50
51 between an employee and the family environment in that the individual is attending to and
52
53 coping with work and family demands in a similar fashion as his/her SO. In terms of work
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 demands and WFC, Barnett and colleagues argue that working long hours is not necessarily
4
5 problematic if it meets the preferences of the family and the greater work-family system that the
6
7 employee lives within (Barnett, Gareis, & Brennan, 1999). Additionally, in the case of high
8
9 interrole conflict, individuals may feel relief or comfort when their SOs are similarly struggling
10
11 to meet work and family demands (i.e., misery loves company). Further support for the positive
12
13 implications of interrole conflict congruence for balance satisfaction are found in Korman's
14
15 (1970) proposal that individuals will find satisfying those roles which maximize their sense of
16
17 balance or consistency. As such, we propose that as the congruence between two partners'
18
19 experiences of interrole conflict increases, the focal employee will perceive higher balance
20
21 satisfaction.
22
23
24
25
26

27 *Hypothesis 1a. The more congruence between an employee's level of FWC and an SO's*
28 *level of FWC, the more satisfied the employee is with his/her work-family balance.*
29

30 *Hypothesis 1b. The more congruence between an employee's level of WFC and an SO's*
31 *level of WFC, the more satisfied the employee is with his/her work-family balance.*
32
33

34 **Differentiating Types of Interrole Conflict Congruence**

35
36 Having considered the effects of varying degrees of interrole conflict congruence – i.e.,
37
38 moving from more incongruent conditions (e.g., one member of the couple has higher interrole
39
40 conflict than the other) toward more congruent conditions (e.g., both members have similar
41
42 levels of low or high interrole conflict) and vice-versa – we now focus on examining the
43
44 different types of congruence and incongruence. First, we focus on conditions of interrole
45
46 conflict congruence, making predictions for changes in employee balance satisfaction as couples
47
48 move from similar levels of low interrole conflict to similar levels of high interrole conflict.
49
50
51 Indeed, we expect congruence (between employees and SOs) at lower levels of interrole conflict
52
53 to result in higher balance satisfaction than congruence (between employees and SOs) at higher
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 levels of interrole conflict.
4

5
6 Although balance is generally preferred to imbalance (Heider, 1958), we propose that
7
8 distinctions also exist between couples who possess congruent interrole conflict based on the
9
10 level of conflict. The interrole conflict literature, including meta-analytic reviews, demonstrates a
11
12 consistent, negative relationship between conflict and satisfaction judgments (e.g., job
13
14 satisfaction, life satisfaction, relationship satisfaction and family satisfaction; Mesmer-Magnus &
15
16 Viswesvaran, 2005; Netemeyer et al., 1996; Shockley & Singla, 2011). These established
17
18 negative relationships are theorized to occur because interrole conflict is based on the notion that
19
20 participation in the work (family) role becomes more difficult by virtue of participation in the
21
22 family (work) role (Greenhaus & Beutell, 1985), and this difficulty or struggle to participate in
23
24 both roles is expected to be stressful and dissatisfying based on role conflict theory (Kahn et al.,
25
26 1964). Additionally, a study by Grawitch and colleagues (2013) found a negative relationship
27
28 between interrole conflict and satisfaction with work-life balance. Following the expansive
29
30 literature on the relationships between interrole conflict and satisfaction judgements, the
31
32 preceding hypothesis, as well as Valcour's (2007) assumption that people who perceive low
33
34 conflict between work and family feel satisfied and successful at managing work and family
35
36 demands, we hypothesize that congruence (between employees and SOs) at low levels of
37
38 interrole conflict will result in higher focal employee balance satisfaction than congruence
39
40 (between employees and SOs) at high levels of interrole conflict.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 *Hypothesis 2a. Employee balance satisfaction is higher when an employee and an SO are*
49 *congruent at a low level of FWC rather than when an employee and an SO are congruent*
50 *at a high level of FWC.*
51

52
53 *Hypothesis 2b. Employee balance satisfaction is higher when an employee and an SO are*
54 *congruent at a low level of WFC rather than when an employee and an SO are congruent*
55 *at a high level of WFC.*
56
57
58
59
60

Differentiating Types of Interrole Conflict Incongruence

After conceptually examining the effects of varying degrees of interrole conflict congruence and having considered different types of congruence (e.g., moving from similar levels of low interrole conflict to similar levels of high interrole conflict), we now explore the different types of incongruence. Specifically, we focus on changes in the focal employee's balance satisfaction as couples move from focal employees having higher levels of interrole conflict than SOs to SOs having higher levels of interrole conflict than focal employees. In general, we expect incongruence to have more of a negative influence on employee balance satisfaction when an employee has a higher level of interrole conflict than an SO as compared to when an SO has a higher level of interrole conflict than an employee.

Bowler and Brass (2006) describe unbalanced states as asymmetric relationships within dyads, and in our case, these occur when partners have incongruent or dissimilar interrole conflict experiences. This includes both situations in which an employee experiences higher levels of conflict than his/her SO and situations in which an employee experiences lower levels of conflict than his/her SO. To distinguish between these two unbalanced states, we turn to another tenet of balance theory; namely, that when one likes another person, one prefers to receive benefits and not harm from the person (Heider, 1958). In the instance where employee interrole conflict is lower than SO conflict, the employee should feel that he/she is benefiting from the sacrifices that the SO is making for work or family. This situation is aligned with Hammer and colleagues' (1997) logic that "individuals who are highly involved in their family will have partners who experience lower levels of work-family conflict" (190). We argue that the employee likely feels grateful that he/she is able to achieve work and family demands (and not combat interrole conflict) thanks to his/her SO's efforts, which should increase the employee's

1
2
3 contentment with meeting role demands (i.e., satisfaction with balance).
4

5
6 On the other hand, when the employee experiences higher interrole conflict than his/her
7
8 SO, the employee may feel harmed in the sense that the SO is not sharing in the family
9
10 responsibilities, and as a result, the employee's work is being hindered while the SO's is not (in
11
12 the case of FWC). Previous research suggests that individuals in dual earner relationships who
13
14 perform a significant proportion of the housework (compared to their spouses) have lower
15
16 perceptions of fairness regarding their housework allocation arrangement than individuals who
17
18 share housework with their dual earner partners (Mederer, 1993). Based on balance theory
19
20 arguments, we expect this to lead to feeling harmed and dissatisfied. In terms of WFC, the
21
22 employee may feel harmed because his/her performance in the family is hindered by work, while
23
24 his/her SO's work is not interfering with family responsibilities. Such differences may create
25
26 negative sentiments, for example, feelings of competition, jealousy or envy (Heider, 1958),
27
28 which we expect will decrease the employee's balance satisfaction.
29
30
31
32
33

34 The notion that an unbalanced state is more detrimental for an employee's balance
35
36 satisfaction when the individual experiences higher rather than lower interrole conflict compared
37
38 to his/her SO, aligns with the basic premise that bad, harmful and unpleasant situations in
39
40 interpersonal relationships have a stronger impact on people than good, desirable, or beneficial
41
42 ones (Baumeister, Bratslavsky, Finkenauer, Vohs, 2001). Baumeister and colleagues review
43
44 studies showing that, in couples, negative behaviors, destructive patterns, and distress hold
45
46 greater implications for outcomes than positive behaviors, constructive patterns, and positive
47
48 relations. Extending this, and following our arguments above, we expect an employee who is
49
50 experiencing greater levels of interrole conflict than his/her SO to feel harmed and distressed in
51
52 the relationship, and consequently, this unbalanced state is more problematic for the employee's
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 balance satisfaction than an unbalanced situation where the employee benefits through lower
4
5 interrole conflict than his/her SO. Thus, we hypothesize the following:
6
7

8 *Hypothesis 3a. Employee balance satisfaction is lower when an employee has a higher*
9 *level of FWC than an SO as compared to when an SO has a higher level of FWC than an*
10 *employee.*
11

12 *Hypothesis 3b. Employee balance satisfaction is lower when an employee has a higher*
13 *level of WFC than an SO as compared to when an SO has a higher level of WFC than an*
14 *employee.*
15
16

17 **Distal Outcomes of Interrole Conflict Congruence via Balance Satisfaction**

18

19
20 Thus far, we have focused on balance satisfaction as our proximal outcome of employee
21 and SO congruence in interrole conflict. Next, we consider more distal outcomes of the interplay
22 between employee and SO conflict. Previous research demonstrates that interrole conflict is
23 linked with individuals' and their SOs' well-being (Allen, Herst, Bruick & Sutton, 2000;
24 Cowlshaw, Evans, & McLennan, 2010; Desrochers, Sargent, & Hostetler, 2012; Ford, Heinen &
25 Langkamer, 2007). Well-being includes specific satisfaction judgments, such as job and marital
26 satisfaction, in addition to general or global life satisfaction (Diener, Suh, Lucas & Smith, 1999).
27 Given its aforementioned holistic nature, Valcour (2007) states that balance satisfaction may
28 play an especially useful role in work-family models as an intervening variable between
29 antecedents and outcomes. Therefore, we examine balance satisfaction as a mediating factor
30 between interrole conflict congruence and the outcomes of employee job satisfaction (in the
31 work domain) and SO relationship satisfaction (in the family domain).
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 Valcour (2007) explains that balance satisfaction occurs when employees feel they have
49 sufficient resources to successfully respond to demands stemming from their work and family
50 roles. Sufficient resources and success in both domains should positively impact family
51 members' assessments of the relationship they share with employees. For instance, recent
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 research suggests that husbands' work-family enrichment, which involves resource gain and
4 improved participation across one's work and family roles, is positively associated with wives'
5 marital satisfaction (van Steenbergen, Kluwer & Karney, 2014). On the other hand, when
6 employees are not successful in both roles (i.e., leading to lower balance satisfaction), employees
7 may not be able "to contribute to family activities, and the family members are likely to
8 experience a reduction in the quality of the family experience" (Carlson, Ferguson, Perrewe &
9 Whitten, 2011: 943). This line of reasoning should result in lower SO assessments of relationship
10 satisfaction with the employee following low employee balance satisfaction. In summary, we
11 conclude that employee balance satisfaction, which includes satisfaction with one's
12 accomplishments in his/her family role, is expected to be positively related to SO relationship
13 satisfaction. Hence, these two satisfaction judgments, or distinguishable sentiments, are "alike in
14 sign" and encompass a harmonious or balanced state (Heider, 1958: 182).

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32 Moreover, balance theory suggests that employees' sentiments, or feelings, about work
33 and family may adapt to achieve more of a balanced state. In a situation of low balance
34 satisfaction, balance theory would suggest that tension exists if an individual claims to be
35 satisfied with his/her family (work) but cannot allocate sufficient resources towards family
36 (work). However, if one changes his/her sentiments about the entity, this produces more of a
37 balanced state (Heider, 1958). For instance, an employee who is unable to dedicate sufficient
38 resources to work may disengage from his/her work and profess not to be satisfied with his/her
39 job, as less engagement at work relates to less job satisfaction (Rich, LePine, & Crawford, 2010).
40 This condition of two negative relations (i.e., insufficient resources to dedicate to work along
41 with proclaiming dissatisfaction with one's job) would restore an overall balanced state for the
42 employee (Heider, 1958). In other words, we expect employees with low balance satisfaction to
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 experience decreased job satisfaction in order to maintain a balanced state. Following Wayne and
4
5 colleagues' (2017) argument that greater balance satisfaction is associated with "other positive
6
7 feelings and cognitions toward one's organization" (14), which we extend to one's job, we
8
9 propose that balance satisfaction is positively related to job satisfaction.
10
11

12
13 Some empirical evidence exists for linkages between balance satisfaction and satisfaction
14
15 in the work and family domains. For example, previous research supports positive relationships
16
17 between different domain attitudes such as family, job and life distress as well as job and life
18
19 satisfaction (e.g., Adams, King & King, 1996; Grandey & Cropanzano, 1999). Additionally,
20
21 multiple studies have found support for a positive relationship between balance satisfaction and
22
23 both job and family satisfaction (Grawitch et al., 2013; Wayne et al., 2017). Altogether, these
24
25 findings and our previous theorizing suggest that balance satisfaction is a mechanism through
26
27 which the positive effects of interrole conflict congruence bring about higher satisfaction in the
28
29 work and family domains. Thus, we hypothesize the following:
30
31
32
33

34 *Hypothesis 4a. Employee balance satisfaction mediates the relationship between the*
35 *interplay of employee and SO FWC and employee job satisfaction.*
36

37 *Hypothesis 4b. Employee balance satisfaction mediates the relationship between the*
38 *interplay of employee and SO WFC and employee job satisfaction.*
39

40 *Hypothesis 5a. Employee balance satisfaction mediates the relationship between the*
41 *interplay of employee and SO FWC and SO relationship satisfaction.*
42
43

44 *Hypothesis 5b. Employee balance satisfaction mediates the relationship between the*
45 *interplay of employee and SO WFC and SO relationship satisfaction.*
46
47

48 **METHODS**

49 **Participants and Procedure**

50
51
52 Participants in this study were government employees working for a Midwestern state in
53
54 the U.S. To recruit participants, we sent an e-mail to an employee listserv that included
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 approximately 18,000 members (out of the approximately 321,000 total government employees
4 in this state). The recruitment e-mail detailed the requirements for participation (working full-
5 time and living with a spouse or significant other) and included a link to the registration page and
6 an initial online survey. The study instructions noted that respondents would be entered into a
7 drawing for 30 Visa gift cards as an incentive for participation. After sending out our request, we
8 closed the initial survey once we had received just under 250 sign-ups (specifically, 242
9 completed surveys). This initial focal employee survey asked for work-related (FWC and job
10 satisfaction) and demographic information. Focal employees were then mailed a paper survey at
11 the home address they provided and asked to complete WFC and balance satisfaction measures.
12 To serve as an additional data source, these focal employees were asked to recruit their SO.
13 Approximately one week after the focal employee completed the initial survey, their SO was
14 mailed a separate SO survey. The SO survey included measures of their own FWC, their own
15 WFC², their own relationship satisfaction, and focal employee job satisfaction.

16
17 Because our study focused on the interplay of employee conflict and SO conflict, only
18 participants who had an SO complete a survey were retained. Two hundred forty-two
19 respondents completed the initial focal employee survey of which 168 completed the mailed
20 survey. Of these 168 employees, 141 comprised the final sample that could be matched with an
21 SO survey (overall retention rate of 58.3%). Focal employees held jobs in a variety of
22 professions including information technology, public relations, and social services. Seventy
23 percent of respondents in the final sample were women, 92.2% were married, and 59.6% had

24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
² We chose to focus on focal employee ratings of employee FWC/WFC and SO ratings of SO FWC/WFC for both theoretical and empirical reasons. In terms of theory, we drew from recent applications of balance theory in top-tier management journals (e.g., Bowler & Brass, 2006; Lau & Liden, 2008; Sherony & Green, 2002) – all of which assessed at least one of the constructs central to balance theory predictions from another source. In terms of empirical reasons, we chose focal employee ratings of employee conflict and SO ratings of SO conflict to alleviate concerns over common method variance (Podsakoff, MacKenzie, Lee, & Podsakoff, 2003).

1
2
3 children. Independent sample t-tests comparing individuals who completed only the initial
4 survey to those who were retained in our analyses revealed no significant differences on the
5 demographic variables just discussed³.
6
7

10 Measures

11 Unless otherwise noted, participants responded using a Likert scale ranging from 1 =
12 strongly disagree to 5 = strongly agree.
13
14

15
16
17 **FWC and WFC.** Focal employees as well as SOs each rated their own FWC and WFC
18 by completing the four-item measures from Gutek, Searle, & Klepa (1991). An example FWC
19 item is, “My personal demands are so great that it takes away from my work.” Coefficient α for
20 employee FWC was .77. Coefficient α for SO FWC was .76. An example WFC item is, “My
21 work takes up time that I’d like to spend with family/friends.” Coefficient α for employee WFC
22 was .75. Coefficient α for SO WFC was .78.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 **Balance satisfaction.** Focal employees rated their balance satisfaction using the five-item
33 satisfaction with work–family balance scale developed by Valcour (2007). Participants were
34 presented with the stem “Indicate your level of satisfaction with ...” (using a scale ranging from
35 1 = very dissatisfied to 5 = very satisfied). An example item is, “the way you divide your time
36 between work and personal or family life.” Coefficient α for this scale was .94.
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 **Job satisfaction.** Focal employees as well as SOs both rated employee job satisfaction
44 using a five-item version of the Brayfield and Rothe (1951) job satisfaction scale (Judge & Ilies,
45 2004). Consistent with Judge and Hulin (1993) as well as Judge, Locke, Durham, and Kluger
46 (1998), in order to alleviate concerns over common method bias when assessing various forms of
47
48
49
50
51
52

53
54 ³ We also conducted paired samples t-tests to examine whether differences in levels of FWC and WFC existed
55 between the full-time government focal employees and their SOs. For FWC, there were no statistically significant
56 differences (mean difference = .08, $t[140] = 1.16$, ns) between focal employees (mean = 2.05, SD = .65) and SOs
57 (mean = 1.97, SD = .63). For WFC, there were no statistically significant differences (mean difference = .14, $t[140]$
58 = 1.45, ns) between focal employees (mean = 3.12, SD = .85) and SOs (mean = 2.99, SD = .87).
59
60

1
2
3 satisfaction (i.e., balance satisfaction and job satisfaction), we chose to collect employee and SO
4 reports of job satisfaction. Judge and colleagues noted that SOs are ideal sources for reporting on
5 employee job satisfaction because they know the focal employee better than anyone else. A
6 sample employee-rated item is, "Most days I am enthusiastic about my work." A sample SO-
7 rated item is, "Most days my spouse/significant other is enthusiastic about his/her work."
8
9 Coefficient α for this scale was .88 for employees and .91 for SOs. Importantly, employee and
10 SO ratings of job satisfaction were strongly correlated at .66 ($p < .01$), and the conclusions drawn
11 for the study hypotheses were the same using either source. As such, in order to alleviate
12 concerns over common method variance, we present the results for SO reports.
13
14

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25 **Relationship Satisfaction.** SOs rated their own marital or relationship satisfaction using
26 the five-item scale developed by Norton (1983). An example item is "Our marriage or
27 relationship has been very strong." Coefficient α for this scale was .94.
28
29
30

31
32 **Controls.** Following past work-family research (e.g., Aryee, Srinivas, & Tan, 2005),
33 number of children was included as a control variable. Additionally, gender was included as a
34 control variable because it has been meta-analytically associated with FWC and WFC (Byron,
35 2005) and has also been associated with balance satisfaction (Valcour, 2007). Finally, we
36 included marital status as a control variable because our sample included some unmarried
37 couples living together. Following recent recommendations regarding control variables (Becker,
38 2005), we ran our analyses with and without these control variables and the conclusions drawn
39 for the study hypotheses were consistent across both of these sets of analyses.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 **Analysis**

51
52
53 Following recent recommendations from Krasikova and LeBreton (2012) to model
54 dyadic theoretical phenomenon using dyadic analytic techniques, we considered all of our
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 constructs at the dyad level and used polynomial regression and response surface methodology to
4
5 test hypotheses 1-3 (Edwards, 2002; Edwards & Parry, 1993). Specifically, the mediator variable
6
7 (employee balance satisfaction) was regressed on the control variables (gender, number of
8
9 children, and marital status) as well as the five polynomial terms (for FWC, b_1 employee FWC,
10
11 b_2 SO FWC, b_3 employee FWC², b_4 employee FWC \times SO FWC, b_5 SO FWC²; for WFC, b_1
12
13 employee WFC, b_2 SO WFC, b_3 employee WFC², b_4 employee WFC \times SO WFC, b_5 SO WFC²).
14
15 In other words, we estimated this equation (to simplify, we omitted all control variables):
16
17
18

$$19 \quad B = b_0 + b_1E + b_2S + b_3E^2 + b_4(ES) + b_5S^2 + e \quad (1)$$

20
21 where B represents employee balance satisfaction, E represents employee FWC or WFC, and S
22
23 represents SO FWC or WFC. We mean centered employee FWC/WFC (E) and SO FWC/WFC
24
25 (S) before calculating the three second-order polynomial terms in order to eliminate non-essential
26
27 multicollinearity and facilitate the interpretation of results (Aiken & West, 1991). Consistent
28
29 with past research using polynomial regression (for examples, see Edwards & Cable, 2009;
30
31 Lambert, Tepper, Carr, Holt, & Barelka, 2012; Matta, Scott, Koopman, & Conlon, 2015), we
32
33 used the coefficients from the above equation to plot a three-dimensional response surface with
34
35 the perpendicular axes corresponding to values for employee FWC/WFC (E) and SO FWC/WFC
36
37 (S), and the vertical axis corresponding to values for employee balance satisfaction (Edwards &
38
39 Parry, 1993).⁴
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 To test hypotheses 1 and 2, we followed the criteria described by Edwards and Cable
47
48 (2009) for demonstrating a congruence effect (i.e., the three key features of the response
49
50 surface). The first feature is the curvature along the incongruence line ($E = -S$). To support a
51
52 congruence effect (i.e., hypothesis 1), the curvature along the incongruence line ($E = -S$) must be
53
54

55
56 ⁴ To estimate the coefficients and standard errors for the slope and curvature of the congruence ($E = -S$) and
57
58 incongruence line ($E = -S$) of the response surface, we used procedures for testing linear combinations of regression
59
60 coefficients (Cohen & Cohen, 1983; Edwards & Parry, 1993).

1
2
3 negative and significant (resulting in an inverted u-shaped relationship along the incongruence
4 line), such that values for employee balance satisfaction decrease when values for employee
5 FWC/WFC (E) and SO FWC/WFC (S) deviate from each other in either direction. We tested this
6 feature by examining whether the curvature along the incongruence line (the line where $E = -S$
7 and calculated as $b_3 - b_4 + b_5$) was negative and significant.
8
9

10
11
12
13
14
15 The second feature of the response surface is the ridge (or peak) of the response surface
16 where values for the criterion variable are maximized. To provide further support for a
17 congruence effect (i.e., hypothesis 1), the ridge of the response surface should run along the
18 congruence line ($E = S$), such that values for employee balance satisfaction are maximized (at
19 each and every level of FWC/WFC) when values for employee FWC/WFC (E) and SO
20 FWC/WFC (S) are congruent. To demonstrate that the ridge of the response surface runs along
21 the congruence line ($E = S$), the first principal axis of the response surface should have a slope
22 (p_{11}) of 1 and an intercept (p_{10}) of 0 (Edwards, 2002; Edwards & Parry, 1993). Empirically
23 assessing the slope (p_{11}) and intercept (p_{10}) of the first principal axis involves evaluating the
24 significance of a non-linear combination of our polynomial regression coefficients, and we
25 therefore generated 10,000 bootstrapped samples to estimate 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for
26 p_{11} and p_{10} (Edwards, 2002; Edwards & Parry, 1993).
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 The third feature of the response surface is the slope of the congruence line ($E = S$). This
44 feature determines support for hypothesis 2. Specifically, in order to demonstrate that employee
45 balance satisfaction is higher when an employee and an SO are congruent at a low level of
46 FWC/WFC in comparison to congruence at a high level of FWC/WFC, the slope of the
47 congruence line (the line where $E = S$ and calculated as $b_1 + b_2$) must be significant and negative.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 Testing hypothesis 3 required additional analyses beyond those described in Edwards and
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Cable (2009). If there is a significant curvature along the incongruence line (Carter &
4 Mossholder, 2015), following recent empirical work (e.g., Cole, Carter, & Zhang, 2013; Matta et
5 al., 2015), we tested the asymmetrical incongruence effect forwarded in hypothesis 3 by
6 calculating the lateral shift quantity ($[b_2 - b_1] / [2 \times (b_3 - b_4 + b_5)]$). The lateral shift quantity
7 specifies the magnitude and direction of a lateral shift of the response surface along the
8 incongruence line (Atwater, Ostroff, Yammarino, & Fleenor, 1998), and a negative lateral shift
9 quantity would provide support for hypothesis 3. To empirically assess statistical significance,
10 we utilized 10,000 bootstrapped samples to estimate 95% CIs for the lateral shift quantity (Cole
11 et al., 2013; Matta et al., 2015). If there is not a significant curvature along the incongruence line,
12 hypothesis 3 is tested with the slope of the incongruence line (for similar, see Atwater et al.,
13 1998; Lambert, Edwards, & Cable, 2003; Montes & Irving, 2008). Specifically, the slope of the
14 incongruence line (the line where $E = -S$ and calculated as $b_1 - b_2$) must be negative and
15 significant.

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34 In order to test mediation of the interplay of employee FWC/WFC (E) and SO
35 FWC/WFC (S) on downstream outcomes via employee balance satisfaction (i.e., hypotheses 4
36 and 5), we utilized the block variable approach recommended by Edwards and Cable (2009).
37 Specifically, we estimated the “ α ” and “ β ” paths of the mediation model. First, we multiplied the
38 five polynomial regression coefficients (from Equation 1 above) with the raw data in order to
39 create a weighted linear composite (i.e., block variable) which represents the relationship
40 between the five polynomial terms and the mediator (i.e., employee balance satisfaction). Next,
41 we regressed the mediator (i.e., employee balance satisfaction) on the block variable along with
42 the control variables from the original model. The regression coefficient for the block variable in
43 this analysis represents the “ α ” path of the mediation model (i.e., the effect of the five
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 polynomial terms on the mediator)⁵. We note that the variance explained in the mediator (i.e.,
4 employee balance satisfaction) by the block variable is exactly equal to the variance explained by
5 the five polynomial terms (Edwards & Cable, 2009; Matta et al., 2015). To estimate the “ β ” path
6 of the mediation model, we regressed each downstream outcome (i.e., employee job satisfaction
7 and SO relationship satisfaction) on the mediator (i.e., employee balance satisfaction) after
8 controlling for the five polynomial terms and the control variables. We utilized the materials
9 from Edwards and Lambert (2007) to assess the significance of each indirect effect using 10,000
10 bootstrapped samples.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 22 RESULTS

23
24 Prior to testing our hypotheses, we examined whether the constructs assessed in our study
25 were distinguishable from each other. In order to do so, we conducted confirmatory factor
26 analyses (CFAs). The results of our first CFA revealed that our proposed seven-factor model
27 (i.e., employee FWC, SO FWC, employee WFC, SO WFC, employee balance satisfaction,
28 employee job satisfaction, and SO relationship satisfaction) fit the data well. Specifically, χ^2
29 (413) = 666.841 ($p < .01$), CFI = .904, RMSEA = .066, SRMR = .063. Importantly, all indicators
30 loaded significantly on their corresponding factor. In addition to testing our proposed model, we
31 also compared our proposed model to every possible constrained model in which any two of the
32 factors were combined. Results revealed that all 21 possible constrained models added
33 significant misfit to the data – $69.500 \leq \Delta \chi^2$ s ($\Delta df = 6$) ≤ 623.352 .
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 Means, standard deviations, and correlations are presented in Table 1. The polynomial
49
50

51
52 ⁵ Because all of our polynomial effects (i.e., hypotheses 1-3) are based on linear combinations of the five polynomial
53 terms, the α path must be tested by including the overall effect of the five polynomial terms on the mediator
54 (Edwards & Cable, 2009). Indeed, the independent effects of each regression parameter are meaningless for
55 interpreting the mediating effect of congruence without the inclusion of the other terms. For this reason, Edwards
56 and Cable (2009) specifically introduced the block variable approach to test the mediation of congruence effects.
57 Importantly, the block variable approach is well-accepted procedure in top-tier management outlets (e.g., Carter &
58 Mossholder, 2015; Matta et al., 2015; Wilson, DeRue, Matta, Howe, & Conlon, 2016).
59
60

1
2
3 regression analysis results corresponding to Equation 1 are reported in Model 1 of Tables 2
4 (FWC) and 3 (WFC), and the resulting surface plots are shown in Figures 1a (FWC) and 1b
5 (WFC). Hypothesis 1a predicted that the more congruence between an employee's level of FWC
6 and an SO's level of FWC, the more satisfied the employee is with his/her work-family balance.
7
8 As shown in Model 1 of Table 2, the three second-order polynomial terms (i.e., b_3 employee
9 FWC^2 , b_4 employee $FWC \times SO$ FWC, b_5 SO FWC² or E^2 , ES , S^2 , respectively) were jointly
10 significant in predicting balance satisfaction ($F = 3.23$, $p < .05$), and the surface along the
11 incongruence line curved downward (curvature [$b_3 - b_4 + b_5$] = $-.60$, $p < .05$). To illustrate the
12 combined effects of employee FWC and SO FWC on employee balance satisfaction, Figure 1a
13 depicts the response surface plot. As predicted, the surface along the incongruence line ($E = -S$)
14 follows an inverted u-shape pattern, such that employee balance satisfaction decreased when
15 employee and SO FWC deviate from the congruence line ($E = S$) in either direction. Thus, the
16 results for the first feature of the response surface support hypothesis 1a.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 In regards to the second feature of the response surface (i.e., the slope and intercept of the
35 response surface ridge), we examined whether the principal axis slope (p_{11}) = 1.0 and the
36 principal axis intercept (p_{10}) = 0. The results of our 10,000 bootstrapped samples for p_{11} and p_{10}
37 showed that the first principal axis had a slope (p_{11}) that was not significantly different from 1.0
38 as the 95% CI included 1.0 (.090, 1.357) and an intercept (p_{10}) that was not significantly different
39 from zero as the 95% CI included zero (-.113, 1.995). These results suggest that employee
40 balance satisfaction is maximized (at each and every level of FWC) when employee FWC (E)
41 and SO FWC (S) were congruent. Thus, overall, our results provided support for hypothesis 1a.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 Hypothesis 1b predicted that the more congruence between an employee's level of WFC
54 and an SO's level of WFC, the more satisfied the employee is with his/her work-family balance.
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 As shown in Model 1 of Table 3, the three second-order polynomial terms (i.e., b_3 employee
4 WFC², b_4 employee WFC \times SO WFC, b_5 SO WFC² or E^2 , ES , S^2 , respectively) were not jointly
5
6 significant in predicting balance satisfaction ($F = .47$, ns), and the surface along the incongruence
7
8 line did not exhibit a downward curvature (curvature [$b_3 - b_4 + b_5$] = .19, ns). The response
9
10 surface for combined effects of employee WFC and SO WFC on employee balance satisfaction
11
12 (Figure 1b) also illustrated that the surface along the incongruence line ($E = -S$) did not exhibit
13
14 the predicted inverted u-shape pattern. Thus, considering that the first feature of the response
15
16 surface (i.e., the curvature along the incongruence line) is necessary to claim support for a
17
18 congruence effect (Edwards & Cable, 2009), we failed to support hypothesis 1b.
19
20
21
22
23

24 Hypothesis 2a predicted that employee balance satisfaction is higher when an employee
25
26 and an SO are congruent at a low level of FWC in comparison to congruence at a high level of
27
28 FWC. As shown in Model 1 of Table 2, the slope along the congruence line ($E = S$) was
29
30 significant and negative (slope [$b_1 + b_2$] = -.56, $p < .01$). The response surface in Figure 1a also
31
32 confirms that employee balance satisfaction was higher in the low-low FWC congruence
33
34 condition in comparison to the high-high FWC congruence condition. Thus, the results
35
36 pertaining to the third feature of the response surface described above support hypothesis 2a.
37
38
39

40 Although no direct congruence effects were found for WFC (due to the lack of
41
42 curvilinear effects derived from the second order polynomial terms – i.e., b_3 employee WFC², b_4
43
44 employee WFC \times SO WFC, b_5 SO WFC² or E^2 , ES , S^2), we are still able to test the remainder of
45
46 the hypotheses – since they rely on the linear effects derived from the first order polynomial
47
48 terms (b_1 employee WFC, b_2 SO WFC). Hypothesis 2b predicted that employee balance
49
50 satisfaction is higher when an employee and an SO are congruent at a low level of WFC in
51
52 comparison to congruence at a high level of WFC. As shown in Model 1 of Table 3, the slope
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 along the congruence line ($E = S$) was significant and negative (slope $[b_1 + b_2] = -.69, p < .01$).

4
5 The response surface in Figure 1b also confirms that employee balance satisfaction was higher in
6
7 the low-low WFC congruence condition in comparison to the high-high WFC congruence
8
9 condition. Thus, the results pertaining to the third feature of the response surface described above
10
11 support hypothesis 2b.
12
13

14
15 Hypothesis 3a predicted that employee balance satisfaction is lower when an employee
16
17 has a higher level of FWC than an SO as compared to when an SO has a higher level of FWC
18
19 than an employee. Because of the significant curvature along the incongruence line (i.e., support
20
21 for hypothesis 1a), support for this hypothesis requires a negative lateral shift quantity (Carter &
22
23 Mossholder, 2015). As predicted in hypothesis 3a, the lateral shift quantity was negative ($-.39$,
24
25 95% CI = $-1.634, -.054$). We also note that, as shown in Model 1 of Table 2, the slope along the
26
27 incongruence line ($E = -S$) was significant and negative (slope $[b_1 - b_2] = -.47, p < .05$),
28
29 providing additional evidence that employee balance satisfaction decreased along the
30
31 incongruence line – moving from low employee FWC and high SO FWC to high employee FWC
32
33 and low SO FWC. Our prediction was also consistent with the response surface in Figure 1a,
34
35 which confirms that employee balance satisfaction was higher in the low employee FWC and
36
37 high SO FWC congruence condition in comparison to high employee FWC and low SO FWC
38
39 congruence condition. Therefore, our results provide support for hypothesis 3a.
40
41
42
43
44

45
46 Hypothesis 3b predicted that employee balance satisfaction is lower when an employee
47
48 has a higher level of WFC than an SO as compared to when an SO has a higher level of WFC
49
50 than an employee. In contrast to hypothesis 3a, because no curvature was found along the
51
52 incongruence line (i.e., no support for hypothesis 1b), support for hypothesis 3b requires the
53
54 slope of the incongruence line to be negative (for similar, see Atwater et al., 1998; Lambert et al.,
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 2003; Lambert et al., 2012; Montes & Irving, 2008). As shown in Model 1 of Table 3, the slope
4 along the incongruence line ($E = -S$) was significant and negative (slope $[b_1 - b_2] = -.47, p < .01$).
5
6 Therefore, employee balance satisfaction decreased along the incongruence line – moving from
7
8 low employee WFC and high SO WFC to high employee WFC and low SO WFC. Additionally,
9
10 the response surface in Figure 1b also confirms that employee balance satisfaction was higher in
11
12 the low employee WFC and high SO WFC condition in comparison to high employee WFC and
13
14 low SO WFC condition. Thus, our results provide support for hypothesis 3b⁶.
15
16
17
18
19

20 To test employee balance satisfaction as a mediator of the effect of the interplay of
21
22 employee FWC/WFC (E) and SO FWC/WFC (S) on downstream outcomes (i.e., hypotheses 4
23
24 and 5), we estimated the “ α ” and “ β ” paths of the mediation model using the block variable
25
26 approach recommended by Edwards & Cable (2009). Models 3 and 5 of Tables 2 (FWC) and 3
27
28 (WFC) summarize the results of regressing each of our outcome variables (i.e., employee job
29
30 satisfaction and SO relationship satisfaction) on employee balance satisfaction, controlling for
31
32 the five polynomial terms and the control variables. The bootstrapping results estimating and
33
34 assessing the significance of the indirect effects of the interplay of employee FWC/WFC (E) and
35
36 SO FWC/WFC (S) with each outcome via employee balance satisfaction are reported below.
37
38
39

40 Hypothesis 4a predicted that employee balance satisfaction mediates the relationship
41
42 between the interplay of employee and SO FWC and employee job satisfaction. Results of the
43
44 bootstrapping analysis support hypothesis 4a as the indirect effect of the interplay of employee
45
46 FWC (E) and SO FWC (S) with employee job satisfaction via employee balance satisfaction was
47
48
49
50

51
52 ⁶ In addition to the curvature and slope of the incongruence line and the slope of the congruence line (i.e., the
53 features of the response surface relevant to our tests of hypotheses 1-3), we also note that our results show a lack of
54 a significant curvature along the congruence line. This indicates that there is no curvilinear effect as one moves
55 along the congruence line from low-low FWC/WFC to high-high FWC/WFC. In other words, for both FWC and
56 WFC, as one moves from low-low FWC/WFC to high-high FWC/WFC, there is a purely linear negative relationship
57 (due to the negative slope; i.e., support for hypothesis 2) and no curvature – such that employee balance satisfaction
58 decreases as dyads move from low-low FWC/WFC to high-high FWC/WFC.
59
60

1
2
3 .428 (95% CI = .247, .635). Hypothesis 4b predicted the same relationship with the interplay of
4
5 employee and SO WFC. Results of the bootstrapping analysis support hypothesis 4b as the
6
7 indirect effect of the interplay of employee WFC (*E*) and SO WFC (*S*) with employee job
8
9 satisfaction via employee balance satisfaction was .477 (95% CI = .315, .678).

10
11
12 Hypothesis 5a predicted that employee balance satisfaction mediates the relationship
13
14 between the interplay of employee and SO FWC and SO relationship satisfaction. Results of the
15
16 bootstrapping analysis support hypothesis 5a as the indirect effect of the interplay of employee
17
18 FWC (*E*) and SO FWC (*S*) with SO relationship satisfaction via employee balance satisfaction
19
20 was .177 (95% CI = .038, .357). Hypothesis 5b predicted the same relationship with the interplay
21
22 of employee and SO WFC. Results of the bootstrapping analysis support hypothesis 5b as the
23
24 indirect effect of the interplay of employee WFC (*E*) and SO WFC (*S*) with SO relationship
25
26 satisfaction via employee balance satisfaction was .197 (95% CI = .050, .367)⁷.

31 Supplemental Analysis

32
33
34 Although we controlled for gender as a potential confound in our proposed model, we
35
36 conducted a supplemental analysis to rule out its potential role as a moderator of the FWC/WFC
37
38 congruence to outcome relations. This is important to consider because past research highlights
39
40 gender as a moderator of the relationships between individual-level WFC/FWC and outcomes
41
42 (e.g., Courtright, Gardner, Smith, McCormick, & Colbert, 2016; Ford, et al., 2007; Kossek &
43
44 Ozeki, 1998). Thus, we ran a supplemental moderated polynomial regression analysis, testing the
45
46 role of gender as a moderator of the relationships between FWC/WFC congruence and outcomes.
47
48

49
50 Specifically, we followed the principles of moderated regression by expanding the
51
52

53
54
55 ⁷ As suggested by an anonymous reviewer, in order to mitigate some of the concerns over alternative causal
56
57 orderings, we ran alternative models placing employee job satisfaction and SO relationship satisfaction as potential
58
59 mediators and balance satisfaction as the dependent variable. We found no significant congruence effects between
60
FWC/WFC congruence and either employee job satisfaction or SO relationship satisfaction.

polynomial regression equation (i.e., equation 1 from the analysis section) to include a series of interaction terms between gender (denoted by G) and each polynomial term (for similar, see Bono & Colbert, 2005; Edwards, 1996; Wilson et al., 2016). The resulting equation was:

$$B = b_0 + b_1E + b_2S + b_3E^2 + b_4(ES) + b_5S^2 + b_6G + b_7GE + b_8GS + b_9GE^2 + b_{10}(GES) + b_{11}GS^2 + e \quad (2)$$

The moderating effect is represented by the five coefficients b_7 , b_8 , b_9 , b_{10} , and b_{11} as a set.

Empirical tests of the moderating effect are conducted by assessing the ΔR^2 by including the set of five interaction terms in comparison to the initial R^2 from the equation 1 model. If moderation is supported, follow up analyses can be conducted to interpret the form of the moderating effect.

We first considered the potential moderating effect of gender on the relationship between FWC congruence and balance satisfaction. When adding the five interaction coefficients to equation 1, we found no support for the moderating role of gender. Specifically, the inclusion of the five interaction terms in equation 2 did not explain a significant amount of incremental variance ($\Delta R^2 = .04$, *ns*). Next, we considered the potential moderating effect of gender on the relationship between WFC congruence and balance satisfaction. When adding the five interaction coefficients to equation 1, we also found no support for the moderating role of gender. Specifically, the inclusion of the five interaction terms in equation 2 did not explain a significant amount of incremental variance ($\Delta R^2 = .05$, *ns*)⁸.

DISCUSSION

The current study advances work-family conflict research by suggesting a more complex approach that considers congruence in employee and SO levels of interrole conflict (FWC and WFC) as an additional factor important for satisfaction outcomes beyond each individuals' own

⁸ We also conducted moderated polynomial regression analyses exploring number of children as a moderator of the effects of FWC/WFC congruence. The results of these analyses also revealed no significant moderating effects.

1
2
3 level of conflict. We drew from balance theory (Heider, 1958) and used polynomial regression
4 and response surface methodology to explore the notion of dyadic interrole conflict congruence.
5
6 In support of our theorizing, results demonstrate that employees report higher levels of balance
7
8 satisfaction when they experience a greater degree of congruence in FWC with their SO, and
9
10 higher balance satisfaction is a mediating mechanism through which FWC congruence has
11
12 positive implications for employee satisfaction at work and SO satisfaction at home. Contrary to
13
14 what one might conclude from previous research that failed to consider both partners in a
15
16 cohabitating dyad, we found that less FWC is not always better for an employee. In fact, our
17
18 results showed that situations in which both individuals in a couple reported high FWC were
19
20 actually superior to situations in which only one member experienced high FWC and the other
21
22 did not. Thus, it appears that “misery loves company” when it comes to FWC, meaning that
23
24 when an employee experiences a high level of FWC (misery), having an SO with a similarly
25
26 high level of FWC may provide validation and comfort (loves company).
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 With the introduction of interrole conflict congruence, we are able to attain a richer view
35
36 of the effects of interrole conflict for employees in a dual-earner relationship. Our arguments,
37
38 based in balance theory (Heider, 1958), articulate interrole conflict congruence as a balanced
39
40 state where partners possess similar views related to making sacrifices for work or family.
41
42 Balanced states hold benefits for the individuals involved, including validation of one’s own
43
44 views (Heider, 1958; Newcomb, 1953). This relates to the notion of social support, which often
45
46 entails emotional empathy received from another person (Kossek, Pichler, Bodner, & Hammer,
47
48 2011). Because partners with greater FWC congruence have similar life experiences in terms of
49
50 family interfering with work, our results suggest that they may be able to empathize with the
51
52 needs of their partner. This may potentially even result in a shared willingness to outsource work
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 in the home (e.g., hire out the cleaning, have meals delivered). Further, FWC congruence
4
5 represents a situation where partners share the load in terms of family interfering with work, and
6
7 thus, provide another key aspect of support to one another in the form of tangible assistance in
8
9 the family domain (Kossek et al., 2011). For heterosexual couples, this aligns with an egalitarian
10
11 gender role orientation where both individuals in a dual-earner couple embrace the family role
12
13 (Livingston & Judge, 2008), resulting in a more equal division of household work (e.g., cooking,
14
15 caring for children). Support from one's SO in these various forms should increase one's feelings
16
17 of having adequate resources, and thus perceptions of balance satisfaction (Valcour, 2007).
18
19

20
21
22 The present investigation also contributes to our understanding of employees'
23
24 experiences of balance satisfaction, or satisfaction with work-family balance. The concept of
25
26 work-family balance is referenced often in organizations and practice, but research has struggled
27
28 with how to approach this construct (Maertz & Boyar, 2011; Wayne et al., 2017). In particular,
29
30 the relationship between work-family conflict and work-family balance has been unclear
31
32 (Greenhaus & Allen, 2011), and the current study suggests that one reason for this is the
33
34 complexity of the relationship; namely, that interrole conflict congruence should be taken into
35
36 consideration along with one's level of interrole conflict when relating to balance satisfaction.
37
38 Our findings provide support for conceptualizing work-family balance as an employee's
39
40 perception of fit between him/herself and the environment (Voydanoff, 2005). Maertz & Boyar
41
42 (2011) endorse "a complex, contingent fit perspective" for approaching work-family balance
43
44 where balance increases when one's effort and actions fit with both personal values and the
45
46 environment. Our study adds to this perspective by suggesting that an SO's interrole conflict is
47
48 an important factor in the environment that an employee may assess fit with when determining
49
50 balance satisfaction, and greater FWC congruence with one's SO would increase fit with one's
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 family role. We believe continued study of specific factors that bring about feelings of fit, and
4
5 therefore balance, will be important for further developing an understanding of work-family
6
7 balance. Finally, the present research contributes to literature on the outcomes of balance
8
9 satisfaction, which have been understudied thus far (Wayne et al., 2017), by again utilizing a
10
11 balance theory lens to understand the relationships between interrole conflict congruence and
12
13 satisfaction at work and home through employees' balance satisfaction.
14
15

16
17 Interestingly, for the work-to-family direction of interrole conflict congruence, we did not
18
19 find support for our initial hypothesis (hypothesis 1b) that more WFC congruence would relate to
20
21 higher employee balance satisfaction. The divergent results for dyadic interrole conflict
22
23 congruence in terms of WFC versus FWC highlight important implications for dyadic work-
24
25 family research going forward. Specifically, dyadic studies should pay close attention to the
26
27 shared domain of the individuals in the dyad as it may carry more relevance for shaping
28
29 perceptions and attitudes. For significant others, family is the shared domain. Because FWC
30
31 originates in the family domain [given the definition and directional nature of FWC, as well as
32
33 the various family-related antecedents associated with FWC (e.g., family support, family stress,
34
35 number of children; Byron, 2005)], it may be more salient when considering congruence with an
36
37 SO who shares that domain with the employee. In some ways, this would be consistent with a
38
39 source attribution perspective where cognitive appraisal and psychological attention are more
40
41 focused on the originating domain (Shockley & Singla, 2011). Other dyadic work-family
42
43 research may focus on dyads who share the work domain, such as co-workers. In this case,
44
45 factors from the work domain may become more dominant. In fact, WFC congruence may be
46
47 more salient for balance satisfaction judgments when considering congruence with a co-worker
48
49 from one's work group. More broadly, our differing results for WFC and FWC emphasize that
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 future dyadic interrole conflict research should study the two directions of conflict as distinct
4 phenomena. As Netemeyer and colleagues (1996) note, many general measures of interrole
5 conflict exist; however, considering the differences in our study findings for hypothesis 1, we
6 recommend the use of directional interrole conflict measures in dyadic work-family research. We
7 did support all remaining hypotheses for both WFC and FWC. In terms of WFC, these results
8 indicate that in couples where both partners experience low WFC, employees report more
9 balance satisfaction than in couples where both partners experience high WFC. Additionally,
10 employees perceive more balance satisfaction when their level of WFC is lower, rather than
11 higher, than their SOs' WFC.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24
25 Given the importance placed on gender as a key moderating variable in past models of
26 interrole conflict (e.g., Courtright et al., 2016; Ford et al., 2007; Kossek & Ozeki, 1998), we ran
27 supplemental analyses testing the moderating role of gender on the relationships between
28 FWC/WFC congruence and balance satisfaction. None of the moderated polynomial regression
29 analyses produced significant results, indicating that our support for the relationship between
30 FWC congruence and balance satisfaction, as well as our lack of support for the relationship
31 between WFC congruence and balance satisfaction, holds across men and women. As Ford and
32 colleagues note, null findings related to gender moderation in interrole conflict models could “be
33 indicative of a diminishing asymmetry between men and women in their work and family
34 responsibilities” (2007: 71). Specifically, in our model, both women and men found greater
35 balance satisfaction when employees and SOs had similarly high levels of FWC, indicating high
36 levels of family responsibilities for both parties, rather than situations where one partner had
37 higher FWC than the other. However, we are cautious about drawing strong conclusions from
38 these null findings concerning the possible moderating role of gender, and encourage further
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 testing of the role of gender in future interrole conflict congruence models.
4
5

6 **Practical Implications**

7

8 The present research offers multiple prescriptions for companies, supervisors, and
9 families. First, given the finding that the best condition of FWC congruence occurs when both
10 individuals in a couple report low FWC, organizations might take a more comprehensive
11 approach to managing employees and consider how they can lower FWC for both employees and
12 their SOs. For instance, helping employees locate and afford reliable child care would benefit
13 both individuals in a dual income partnership, potentially decreasing FWC for both partners. In
14 one study, 95 percent of respondents reported that employer-sponsored child care enhanced their
15 ability to balance work and family responsibilities (Horizons Workforce Consulting, 2016). This
16 type of benefit may prove to be a more useful resource for employees over individually focused
17 benefits. Additionally, companies that offer grocery and laundry services as well as house
18 cleaning referrals or discounts could benefit the employee's family as a whole and potentially
19 decrease family demands for both partners, thus lowering both partners' FWC. Finally,
20 organizations should also consider the impact of work events (e.g., evening award dinners or
21 weekend conferences) on employee's participation in the family role and consider including SOs
22 in these events so that both partners get to participate (and still spend time) with each other. This
23 aligns with organizations that promote a positive work-family culture, where shared beliefs and
24 values support integration of employees' work and family lives (Thompson, Beauvais, & Lyness,
25 1999).
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 At the supervisor level, it may be important for leaders to identify those employees who
51 are in situations least favorable for experiencing work-family balance. For dual earner couples,
52 our results showed that this occurred for employees who had incongruent levels of FWC with
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 their SOs (specifically, higher levels of FWC than their SOs). Supervisors' use of family
4
5 supportive supervisor behaviors (FSSB) relating to emotional support, which involves being
6
7 aware of employees' family commitments and situations (Hammer, Kossek, Yragui, Bodner, &
8
9 Hanson, 2009), may be particularly important for these individuals. In couples with children,
10
11 these employees may hold the role of "the default parent", who has primary responsibility for
12
13 tasks such as scheduling and attending doctor and dentist appointments, as well as school
14
15 orientations, fundraisers, and other extra-curricular activities that may take place during work
16
17 hours (Blazoned, 2014). Thus, they may especially benefit from flexibility in terms of
18
19 supervisory instrumental support, another FSSB (Hammer et al., 2009). Altogether, these
20
21 supervisor behaviors can assist these employees in coping with FWC.
22
23
24
25
26

27 Our results demonstrate the importance of interrole conflict congruence in dual earner
28
29 couples for employee job satisfaction and SO relationship satisfaction via employees' balance
30
31 satisfaction. Presumably, higher FWC congruence occurs when both individuals in a couple
32
33 share in family responsibilities. Thus, couples may want to emphasize communication regarding
34
35 who is fulfilling certain family demands, and employees should be open with their SO if they
36
37 feel that family demands are distributed unfairly in an effort to bring about greater FWC
38
39 congruence. Indeed, communication is an important means by which roles can be clarified
40
41 (Rizzo, House, & Lirtzman 1970). The importance of FWC congruence also emphasizes the
42
43 benefits of an egalitarian gender role orientation in heterosexual couples. An egalitarian
44
45 approach involves beliefs that women and men should invest equally in the work and home
46
47 domains (Hochschild, 1989; Livingston & Judge, 2008). In contrast to statistics that show
48
49 women today still take on a disproportionate amount of housework and childcare (McKinsey,
50
51 2015), research demonstrates multiple benefits for couples who achieve greater equality in their
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 work and home contributions (Helms, Walls, Crouter, & McHale, 2010). The present research
4 offers further support for this notion, and emphasizes the benefits of equality, or congruence, in
5 cross-domain experiences, such as FWC.
6
7
8
9

10 **Strengths, Limitations, and Directions for Future Research**

11
12
13 Some of the strengths of this research include, first, different sources of ratings
14 (employee and SO) at separate time points. Additionally, the diversity in the professions
15 occupied by research participants enhances the generalizability of our results, as well as a design
16 that did not restrict against certain types of couples (e.g., cohabitating but not married, same sex
17 couples). Moreover, the use of polynomial regression and response surface methodology allowed
18 us to follow recent recommendations from Krasikova and LeBreton (2012) to model a dyadic
19 theoretical phenomenon using dyadic analytic techniques and also to examine various
20 combinations of employee and SO interrole conflict simultaneously (i.e., varying degrees of
21 FWC/WFC congruence at varying absolute levels of FWC/WFC). This approach addresses
22 questions that cannot be answered with traditional methods such as difference scores or
23 moderator analysis (Edwards, 2002).
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38
39 That said, like with all research, a number of limitations are important and deserve
40 mentioning. First, while multiple sources of data were used, diminishing concerns related to
41 common method bias (Podsakoff et al., 2003), our research design was (for the most part) cross-
42 sectional in nature. Thus, we were unable to test for causality among the variables in our
43 theoretical model. Collecting individual study variables at multiple points in time would have
44 allowed us to more precisely test the causal ordering of these variables (i.e., the notion that
45 changes in interrole conflict congruence will result in changes in job/relationship satisfaction
46 through increased or decreased balance satisfaction). Hence, future research should consider
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 examining interrole conflict congruence across multiple time periods. Also related to study
4 design, while we included one crossover outcome in our model (i.e., SO relationship
5 satisfaction), our study was not able to test a full crossover model examining both partners'
6 experiences (e.g., both partners balance satisfaction and downstream outcomes) as outcomes of
7 dyadic interrole conflict congruence. Although we anticipate the same pattern of effects that we
8 found in the current study, this needs to be empirically tested. We see the integration of interrole
9 conflict congruence and crossover as a fruitful area for future research.

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20 In terms of partners' outcomes resulting from interrole conflict congruence, we
21 recommend that future research expand past balance satisfaction to explore other implications of
22 congruence. The conceptual ambiguity surrounding work-family balance can be viewed as a
23 limitation of the current research. In particular, there has been confusion surrounding the
24 relationship between work-family conflict and work-family balance, and some early studies went
25 so far as to view balance as the absence of conflict (Greenhaus & Allen, 2011), confounding the
26 two constructs. We are encouraged by recent work-family balance research that elaborates on
27 specific conceptualizations of balance (e.g., Wayne et al., 2017), and we focused specifically on
28 balance satisfaction because of its holistic nature, which enhances its conceptual and operational
29 distinctiveness from interrole conflict. Nevertheless, an important step in establishing additional
30 validity evidence for dyadic interrole conflict congruence is testing relationships with other
31 outcomes in the work and family domains such as performance, health, and general well-being.
32 We urge future research in this area, and we recommend these studies be conducted with
33 international samples and across different industries in order to further increase the
34 generalizability of results related to dyadic interrole conflict congruence.

35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55 Not only should future research consider additional outcomes, but studies might also
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 focus on factors that can lead to interrole conflict congruence in couples. In particular, shared
4 values between partners (e.g., family comes before work) might lead both individuals in a couple
5 to experience greater FWC, as they sacrifice work for family responsibilities, and ultimately
6 more FWC congruence. Relatedly, an antecedent of interrole conflict congruence might be
7 couples' shared values regarding provider role attitudes. Research demonstrates that couples who
8 view both partners as sharing equally in breadwinning responsibility also divide housework more
9 equally (Helms et al., 2010), which may increase both WFC and FWC congruence.

10
11 We also see value in capturing SO interrole conflict from the perspective of the focal
12 employee when exploring the effects of interrole conflict congruence (although this approach
13 may be more susceptible to common method variance). Indeed, as noted by an anonymous
14 reviewer, it is the employee's own perceptions of their conflict and their SO's conflict that likely
15 drive the effects of interrole conflict congruence. While we described in the Methods section
16 why we chose to focus on focal employee ratings of employee conflict and SO ratings of SO
17 conflict, considering that employee perceptions are more proximal to employee reactions to
18 interrole conflict congruence, we believe future research may find even stronger effects for FWC
19 congruence – and may potentially find effects for WFC congruence – by exclusively examining
20 employee perceptions of both partners' interrole conflict.

21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60

While the consideration of an employee's level of interrole conflict remains important for understanding outcomes for the individual, also taking into account dyadic interrole conflict congruence provides a more comprehensive explanation for the relationships between interrole conflict and key outcomes. In terms of FWC, the best scenario remains when both individuals in a couple experience low FWC; however, incongruence in FWC complicates the accepted notion

1
2
3 that low FWC is always superior. Our research suggests that it can be deceiving to simply
4
5 conclude that on average low FWC is better. Rather, such a conclusion oversimplifies the story
6
7 of FWC when it comes to balance satisfaction and its downstream correlates. The effects of
8
9 employee FWC vary dramatically depending on SO FWC (i.e., low FWC is not necessarily good
10
11 if one's SO does not also have low FWC; high FWC is not necessarily bad if one's SO also has
12
13 high FWC). Altogether, the simultaneous consideration of employee and SO interrole conflict
14
15 via dyadic interrole conflict congruence can advance our understanding of and directions for
16
17 future interrole conflict research.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

REFERENCES

- 1
2
3
4
5 Adams, G. A., King, L. A., & King, D. W. 1996. Relationships of job and family involvement,
6 family social support, and work-family conflict with job and life satisfaction. *Journal of*
7 *Applied Psychology*, 81: 411-420.
8
9
10 Aiken, L. S., & West, S. G. 1991. *Multiple regression: Testing and interpreting interactions*.
11 Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
12
13 Allen, T. D., Herst, D. E. L., Bruck, C. S., & Sutton, M. 2000. Consequences associated with
14 work-to-family conflict: A review and agenda for future research. *Journal of*
15 *Occupational Health Psychology*, 2: 278-308.
16
17
18 Aryee, S., Srinivas, E. S., & Tan, H. H. 2005. Rhythms of life: Antecedents and outcomes of
19 work-family balance in employed parents. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 90: 132-146.
20
21
22 Atwater, L. E., Ostroff, C., Yammarino, F. J., & Fleenor, J. W. 1998. Self-other agreement: Does
23 it really matter? *Personnel Psychology*, 51: 577-598.
24
25
26 Barnett, R. C., Gareis, K. C., & Brennan, R. T. 1999. Fit as a mediator of the relationship
27 between work hours and burnout. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 4: 307-
28 317.
29
30
31 Baumeister, R. F., Bratslavsky, E., Finkenauer, C., & Vohs, K. D. 2001. Bad is stronger than
32 good. *Review of General Psychology*, 5: 323-370.
33
34
35 Becker, T. E. 2005. Potential problems in the statistical control of variables in organizational
36 research: A qualitative analysis with recommendations. *Organizational Research*
37 *Methods*, 8: 274-289.
38
39
40 Blazoned, M. (2014, October 28). The default parent. *The Huffington Post*. Retrieved from
41 <http://www.huffingtonpost.com>
42
43 Bono, J. E., & Colbert, A. E. 2005. Understanding responses to multi-source feedback: The role
44 of core self-evaluations. *Personnel Psychology*, 58: 171-203.
45
46
47 Bowler, W. M., & Brass, D. J. 2006. Relational correlates of interpersonal citizenship behavior:
48 A social network perspective. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 91: 70-82.
49
50
51 Brayfield, A. H., & Rothe, H. F. 1951. An index of job satisfaction. *Journal of Applied*
52 *Psychology*, 35: 307-311.
53
54
55 Bronfenbrenner, U. 1977. Toward an experimental ecology of human development. *American*
56 *Psychologist*, 32: 513-531.
57
58
59 Byron, K. 2005. A meta-analytic review of work-family conflict and its antecedents. *Journal of*
60 *Vocational Behavior*, 67: 169-198.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- Carlson, D. S., Ferguson, M., Perrewe, P. L., & Whitten, D. 2011. The fallout from abusive supervision: An examination of subordinates and their partners. *Personnel Psychology*, 64: 937-961.
- Carter, M. Z., & Mossholder, K. W. 2015. Are we on the same page? The performance effects of congruence between supervisor and group trust. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 100: 1349-1363.
- Casper, W. J., Eby, L. T., Bordeaux, C., Lockwood, A., & Lambert, D. 2007. A review of research methods in IO/OB work-family research. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 92: 28-43.
- Cohen, J., & Cohen, P. 1983. *Applied multiple regression/correlation analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2nd ed.). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Cole, M. S., Carter, M. Z., & Zhang, Z. 2013. Leader–team congruence in power distance values and team effectiveness: The mediating role of procedural justice climate. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 98: 962-973.
- Courtright, S. H., Gardner, R. G., Smith, T. A., McCormick, B. W., & Colbert, A. E. 2016. My family made me do it: A cross-domain, self-regulatory perspective on antecedents to abusive supervision. *Academy of Management Journal*, 59: 1630-1652.
- Cowlishaw, S., Evans, L., & McLennan, J. 2010. Work-family conflict and crossover in volunteer emergency service workers. *Work & Stress*, 24: 342-358
- Crano, W. D., & Cooper, R. E. 1973. Examination of Newcomb's extension of structural balance theory. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 3: 344-353.
- Deahl, J. 2016. *Countries around the world beat the U.S. on paid parental leave*. Retrieved from <http://www.npr.org/2016/10/06/495839588/countries-around-the-world-beat-the-u-s-on-paid-parental-leave>.
- Desrochers, S., Sargent, L. D., & Hostetler, A. J. 2012. Boundary-spanning demands, personal mastery, and family satisfaction: Individual and crossover effects among dual-earner parents. *Marriage & Family Review*, 48: 443-464.
- Diener, E., Suh, E. M., Lucas, R. E., & Smith, H. L. 1999. Subjective well-being: Three decades of progress. *Psychological Bulletin*, 125: 276-302.
- Dupré, K. E., & Barling, J. 2006. Predicting and preventing supervisory workplace aggression. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 11: 13-26.
- Eby, L. T., Casper, W. J., Lockwood, A., Bordeaux, C., & Brinley, A. 2005. Work and family research in IO/OB: Content analysis and review of the literature (1980-2002). *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 66: 124-197.

- 1
2
3 Edwards, J. R. 1996. An examination of competing versions of the person-environment fit
4 approach to stress. *Academy of Management Journal*, 39: 292-339.
5
6
7 Edwards, J. R. 2002. Alternatives to difference scores: Polynomial regression analysis and
8 response surface methodology. In F. Drasgow, & N. W. Schmitt (Eds.), *Advances in*
9 *measurement and data analysis*: 350–400. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
10
11 Edwards, J. R., & Cable, D. A. 2009. The value of value congruence. *Journal of Applied*
12 *Psychology*, 94: 654-677.
13
14
15 Edwards, J. R., & Parry, M. E. 1993. On the use of polynomial regression equations as an
16 alternative to difference scores in organizational research. *Academy of Management*
17 *Journal*, 36: 1577-1613.
18
19
20 Ford, M. T., Heinen, B. A., & Langkamer, K. L. 2007. Work and family satisfaction and conflict:
21 A meta-analysis of cross-domain relations. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 92: 57-80.
22
23
24 Frone, M. R., Yardley, J. K., & Markel, K. S. 1997. Developing and testing an integrative model
25 of the work-family interface. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 50: 145-167.
26
27
28 Grandey, A. A., & Cropanzano, R. 1999. The conservation of resources model applied to work-
29 family conflict and strain. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 54: 350-370.
30
31
32 Grawitch, M. J., Maloney, P. W., Barber, L. K., & Mooshegian, S. E. 2013. Examining the
33 nomological network of satisfaction with work-life balance. *Journal of Occupational*
34 *Health Psychology*, 3: 276-284.
35
36
37 Greenhaus, J. H., & Allen, T. D. 2011. Work-family balance: A review and extension of the
38 literature. In J. C. Quick, & L. E. Tetrick (Eds.), *Handbook of occupational health*
39 *psychology*: 165-183. (2nd edition). Washington, DC: American Psychological
40 Association.
41
42
43 Greenhaus, J. H., & Beutell, N. J. 1985. Sources of conflict between work and family roles.
44 *Academy of Management Review*, 10: 76–88.
45
46
47 Gutek, B. A., Searle, S., & Klepa, L. 1991. Rational versus gender role explanations for work-
48 family conflict. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 76: 560-568.
49
50
51 Hahn, V. C., & Dormann, C. 2013. The role of partners and children for employees'
52 psychological detachment from work and well-being. *Journal of Applied Psychology*,
53 98: 26-36.
54
55
56 Hammer, L. B., Bauer, T. N., & Grandey, A. A. 2003. Work-family conflict and work-related
57 withdrawal behaviors. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 17:419-436.
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Hammer, L. B., Neal, M. B., Newsom, J. T., Brockwood, K. J., & Colton, C. L. 2005. A
4 longitudinal study of the effects of dual-earner couples' utilization of family-friendly
5 workplace supports on work and family outcomes. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 90:
6 799-810.
7
8
9 Hammer, Kossek, Yragui, Bodner, & Hanson. 2009. Development and validation of a
10 multidimensional measure of family supportive supervisor behaviors (FSSB). *Journal of*
11 *Management*, 35: 837-856.
12
13
14 Heider, F. 1958. *Psychology of interpersonal relations*. New York: Wiley.
15
16
17 Helms, H. M., Wall, J. K., Crouter, A. C., & McHale, S. M. 2010. Provider role attitudes, marital
18 satisfaction, role overload and housework: A dyadic approach. *Journal of Family*
19 *Psychology*, 24: 568-577.
20
21 Hill, M. S. 1988. Marital stability and spouses' shared time. *Journal of Family Issues*, 9, 427-
22 451.
23
24
25 Hochschild, A. 1989. *The second shift*. New York: Avon Books.
26
27
28 Holst, L. 2014. *Here's what you missed: The white house summit on working families*.
29 Retrieved from [https://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2014/06/24/heres-what-you-missed-](https://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2014/06/24/heres-what-you-missed-white-house-summit-working-families)
30 [white-house-summit-working-families](https://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2014/06/24/heres-what-you-missed-white-house-summit-working-families).
31
32 Horizons Workforce Consulting. (2016). *The Lasting Impact of Employer-Sponsored Child*
33 *Care Centers*. Retrieved from Bright Horizons website:
34 <http://solutionsatwork.brighthouse.com/landing-pages/li-childcare-2013>.
35
36
37 Ilies, R., Schwind, K. M., Wagner, D. T., Johnson, M. D., Derue, D. S., & Ilgen, D. R. 2007.
38 When can employees have a family life? The effects of daily workload and affect on
39 work-family conflict and social behaviors at home. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 92:
40 1368-1379.
41
42
43 Judge, T. A., & Hulin, C. L. 1993. Job satisfaction as a reflection of disposition: A multiple
44 source causal analysis. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 56:
45 388-421.
46
47
48 Judge, T. A., & Ilies, R. 2004. Affect and job satisfaction: A study of their relationship at work
49 and at home. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 89: 661-673.
50
51
52 Judge, T. A., & Livingston, B. A. 2008. Is the gap more than gender? A longitudinal analysis of
53 gender, gender role orientation, and earnings. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 93: 994-
54 1012.
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Judge, T. A., Locke, E. A., Durham, C. C., & Kluger, A. N. 1998. Dispositional effects on job
4 and life satisfaction: The role of core evaluations. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 83:
5 17-34.
6
7
8 Kahn, R. L., Wolfe, D. M., Quinn, R. P., & Snoek, J. D. 1964. *Organizational stress: Studies in*
9 *role conflict and ambiguity*. New York: Wiley.
10
11 Korman, A. K. 1970. Toward a hypothesis of work behavior. *Journal of Applied Psychology*,
12 54: 31-41.
13
14
15 Kossek, E. E. 2015. Capturing social and cultural influences: Relating individual work-life
16 experiences to context. *Community, Work & Family*, 18: 371-376.
17
18
19 Kossek, E. E., Colquitt, J. A., & Noe, R. A. 2001. Caregiving decisions, well-being and
20 performance: The effects of place and provider as a function of dependent type and work-
21 family climates. *Academy of Management Journal*, 44: 29-44.
22
23
24 Kossek, E., & Ozeki, C. 1998. Work-family conflict, policies, and the job-life satisfaction
25 relationship: A review and directions for organizational behavior-human resources
26 research. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 83: 139-149.
27
28
29 Krasikova, D. V., & LeBreton, J. M. 2012. Just the two of us: Misalignment of theory and
30 methods in examining dyadic phenomena. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 97: 739-757.
31
32 Lambert, L. S., Edwards, J. R., & Cable, D. M. 2003. Breach and fulfillment of the psychological
33 contract. A comparison of traditional and expanded views. *Personnel Psychology*, 56:
34 895-934.
35
36
37 Lambert, L. S., Tepper, B. J., Carr, J. C., Holt, D. T., & Barelka, A. J. 2012. Forgotten but not
38 gone: An examination of fit between leader consideration and initiating structure needed
39 and received. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 97: 913-930.
40
41
42 Lau, D. C., & Liden, R. C. 2008. Antecedents of coworker trust: Leader's blessings. *Journal of*
43 *Applied Psychology*, 93: 1130-1138.
44
45
46 Livingston, B. A., & Judge, T. A. 2008. Emotional responses to work-family conflict: An
47 examination of gender role orientation among working men and women. *Journal of*
48 *Applied Psychology*, 93: 207-216.
49
50
51 Maertz, C. P., & Boyar, S. L. 2011. Work-family conflict, enrichment, and balance under
52 "Levels" and "Episodes" Approaches. *Journal of Management*, 37: 68-98.
53
54
55 Matta, F. K., Scott, B. A., Koopman, J., & Conlon, D. E. 2015. Does seeing "eye to eye" affect
56 work engagement and OCB? A role theory perspective on LMX agreement. *Academy of*
57 *Management Journal*, 58: 1686-1708.
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- McKinsey & Company, & LeanIn.Org. 2015. *Women in the Workplace*. Retrieved from http://womenintheworkplace.com/ui/pdfs/Women_in_the_Workplace_2015.pdf?v=5
- Mederer, H. J. 1993. Division of labor in two-earner homes: Task accomplishment versus household management as critical variables in perceptions about family work. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 55: 133-145.
- Mesmer-Magnus, J. R., & Viswesvaran, C. 2005. Convergence between measures of work-to-family and family-to-work conflict: A meta-analytic examination. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 67: 215–232.
- Montes, S. D., & Irving, P. G. 2008. Disentangling the effects of promised and delivered inducements: Relational and transactional contract elements and the mediating role of trust. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 93: 1367-1381.
- Netemeyer, R. G., Boles, J. S., & McMurrian, R. 1996. Development and validation of work-family conflict and family-work conflict scales. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 81: 400-410.
- Newcomb, T. M. 1953. An approach to the study of communicative acts. *Psychological Review*, 60: 393-404.
- Norton, R. 1983. Measuring marital quality: A critical look at the dependent variable. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 45: 141-151.
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Lee, J. Y., & Podsakoff, N. P. 2003. Common method bias in behavioral research: A critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88: 879-903.
- Rich, B. L., LePine, J. A., & Crawford, E. R. 2010. Job engagement: Antecedents and effects on job performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 53: 617-635.
- Rizzo, J. R., House, R. J., & Lirtzman, S. I. 1970. Role conflict and ambiguity in complex organizations. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 15: 150-163.
- Sherony, K. M., & Green, S. G. 2002. Coworker exchange: Relationships between coworkers, leader-member exchange, and work attitudes. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87: 542-548.
- Shockley, K. M., & Singla, N. 2011. Reconsidering work-family interactions and satisfaction: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Management*, 37: 861-886.
- Thompson, C. A., Beauvais, L. L., & Lyness, K. S. 1999. When work-family benefits are not enough: The influence of work-family culture on benefit utilization, organizational attachment, and work-family conflict. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 54: 392-415.

- 1
2
3 Treadway, D. C., Ferris, G. R., Duke, A. B., Adams, G. L., & Thatcher, J. B. 2007. The
4 moderating role of subordinate political skill on supervisors' impressions of subordinate
5 ingratiation and ratings of subordinate interpersonal facilitation. *Journal of Applied*
6 *Psychology*, 92: 848-855.
7
8
9 Valcour, M. 2007. Work-based resources as moderators of the relationship between work hours
10 and satisfaction with work-family balance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 92: 1512-
11 1523.
12
13 van Steenbergen, E. F., Kluwer, E. S., & Karney, B. R. 2014. Work-family enrichment, work-
14 family conflict, and marital satisfaction: A dyadic analysis. *Journal of Occupational*
15 *Health Psychology*, 19: 182-194.
16
17
18 Voorpostel, M., van der Lippe, T., & Gershuny, J. 2010. Spending time together: Changes over
19 four decades in leisure time spent with a spouse. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 42, 243-
20 265.
21
22
23 Voydanoff, P. 2005. Toward a conceptualization of perceived work-family fit and balance: A
24 demands and resources approach. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 67: 822-836.
25
26
27 Wayne, J. H., Butts, M. M., Casper, W. J., & Allen, T. D. 2017. In search of balance: A
28 conceptual and empirical integration of multiple meanings of work-family balance.
29 *Personnel Psychology*, 70: 167-210.
30
31
32 Wayne, J. H., Casper, W. J. Matthews, R. A., & Allen, T. D. 2013. Family-supportive
33 organization perceptions and organizational commitment: The mediating role of work-
34 family conflict and enrichment and partner attitudes. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 98:
35 606-622.
36
37
38 Westman, M. 2002. Crossover of stress and strain in the family and workplace. In P. L. Perrewe,
39 & D. C. Ganster (Eds.), *Research in occupational stress and well-being*: 143-181. (2nd
40 edition). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press/Elsevier.
41
42
43 Wilson, K. S., DeRue, D. S., Matta, F. K., Howe, M., Conlon, D. E. 2016. Personality similarity
44 in negotiations: Testing the dyadic effects of similarity in interpersonal traits and the use
45 of emotional displays on negotiation outcomes. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 101,
46 1405-1421.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

TABLE 1

Means, Standard Deviations, and Correlations

Variable	Mean	s.d.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1. Gender	0.70	0.46	-									
2. Number of Children	2.13	1.40	-.12	-								
3. Marital Status	0.08	0.27	.14	-.12	-							
4. Employee FWC (employee-rated)	2.05	0.65	.05	-.01	.04	(.77)						
5. SO FWC (SO-rated)	1.97	0.64	.05	.04	-.06	.16	(.76)					
6. Employee WFC (employee-rated)	3.12	0.85	.18*	.00	.15	.30**	.18*	(.75)				
7. SO WFC (SO-rated)	2.99	0.87	.08	-.08	.02	.27**	.38**	.13	(.78)			
8. Balance Satisfaction (employee-rated)	3.39	0.90	-.17*	.04	-.10	-.36**	-.15	-.56**	-.20*	(.94)		
9. Employee Job Satisfaction (SO-rated)	3.37	0.89	-.03	.09	.02	-.16	-.19*	-.18*	-.24**	.44**	(.91)	
10. SO Relationship Satisfaction (SO-rated)	4.17	0.77	-.06	.07	.07	-.08	-.29**	-.08	-.23**	.22**	.28**	(.94)

n = 141 for all variables. SO-rated = significant other-rated. Gender coded such that 0 = male and 1 = female. Marital status is coded such that 0 = married and 1 = not married. Coefficient alphas are reported on the diagonal.

* p < .05

** p < .01

TABLE 2

Polynomial Regressions of Balance Satisfaction and Downstream Outcomes on FWC

Congruence

Variables	Balance Satisfaction (Employee-rated)		Employee Job Satisfaction (SO-rated)			Significant Other Relationship Satisfaction (SO-rated)				
	Model 1		Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5				
Constant	3.42**	(.09)	3.42**	(.10)	1.96**	(.31)	4.07**	(.08)	3.47**	(.28)
<i>Controls</i>										
Gender	-.32*	(.15)	-.04	(.16)	.09	(.15)	-.08	(.14)	-.02	(.14)
Number of Children	.01	(.05)	.07	(.05)	.06	(.05)	.03	(.05)	.02	(.04)
Marital Status	-.18	(.25)	.13	(.27)	.21	(.25)	.23	(.24)	.27	(.24)
<i>Polynomial Terms</i>										
b ₁ Employee FWC (E)	-.52**	(.12)	-.20	(.13)	.03	(.13)	-.10	(.11)	-.01	(.12)
b ₂ Spouse FWC (S)	-.05	(.12)	-.16	(.13)	-.14	(.12)	-.36**	(.11)	-.35**	(.11)
b ₃ E ²	.01	(.11)	-.04	(.11)	-.04	(.10)	.10	(.10)	.10	(.10)
b ₄ E × S	.40*	(.16)	.23	(.17)	.06	(.16)	.07	(.14)	.00	(.15)
b ₅ S ²	-.21†	(.12)	-.17	(.13)	-.08	(.12)	.06	(.11)	.10	(.11)
<i>Mediator</i>										
Balance Satisfaction					.43**	(.09)			.18*	(.08)
<i>Variance Explained</i>										
R ²	.22		.08		.23		.11		.15	
ΔR ²	.22*		.08		.15**		.11*		.03*	
<i>Congruence Line (E = S)</i>										
Slope (b ₁ + b ₂)	-.56**	(.16)	-.35*	(.17)			-.46**	(.14)		
Curvature (b ₃ + b ₄ + b ₅)	.21	(.18)	.02	(.19)			.23	(.16)		
<i>Incongruence Line (E = -S)</i>										
Slope (b ₁ - b ₂)	-.47*	(.18)	-.04	(.19)			.26	(.17)		
Curvature (b ₃ - b ₄ + b ₅)	-.60*	(.25)	-.44	(.27)			.09	(.23)		
F for the 3 second order terms	3.23*		1.08				.89			

n = 141. Unstandardized regression coefficients and standard errors are reported. SO = significant other. Gender coded such that 0 = male and 1 = female. Marital status is coded such that 0 = married and 1 = not married. Model 1 represents the effect of our polynomial terms on balance satisfaction (α path in the mediation model). Models 2 and 4 represent the total effect of the polynomial terms on our downstream dependent variables (τ path in the mediation model). Models 3 and 5 represent the effect of the mediator on the downstream dependent variables controlling for the effect of the polynomial terms (β path in the mediation model).

† p < .10

* p < .05

** p < .01

TABLE 3

Polynomial Regressions of Balance Satisfaction and Downstream Outcomes on WFC

Congruence

Variables	Balance Satisfaction (Employee-rated)		Employee Job Satisfaction (SO-rated)				Significant Other Relationship Satisfaction (SO-rated)			
	Model 1		Model 2		Model 3		Model 4		Model 5	
Constant	3.32**	(.10)	3.33**	(.12)	1.75**	(.33)	4.09**	(.11)	3.44**	(.31)
<i>Controls</i>										
Gender	-.11	(.14)	.07	(.17)	.12	(.15)	-.07	(.14)	-.05	(.14)
Number of Children	.00	(.04)	.05	(.05)	.05	(.05)	.02	(.05)	.02	(.05)
Marital Status	.01	(.24)	.22	(.28)	.22	(.25)	.29	(.25)	.30	(.25)
<i>Polynomial Terms</i>										
b ₁ Employee WFC (E)	-.58**	(.08)	-.19*	(.09)	.08	(.10)	-.09	(.08)	.02	(.09)
b ₂ Spouse WFC (S)	-.11	(.07)	-.23**	(.09)	-.17*	(.08)	-.18*	(.08)	-.16*	(.08)
b ₃ E ²	.07	(.08)	.04	(.10)	.00	(.09)	-.01	(.08)	-.02	(.08)
b ₄ E × S	-.09	(.09)	.03	(.11)	.07	(.10)	.05	(.10)	.06	(.10)
b ₅ S ²	.04	(.07)	.03	(.08)	.01	(.07)	.11	(.07)	.10	(.07)
<i>Mediator</i>										
Balance Satisfaction					.48**	(.09)			.20*	(.09)
<i>Variance Explained</i>										
R ²	.36		.10		.25		.09		.12	
ΔR ²	.36**		.10†		.15**		.09		.03*	
<i>Congruence Line (E = S)</i>										
Slope (b ₁ + b ₂)	-.69**	(.09)	-.42**	(.12)			-.28**	(.10)		
Curvature (b ₃ + b ₄ + b ₅)	.02	(.13)	.10	(.15)			.15	(.13)		
<i>Incongruence Line (E = -S)</i>										
Slope (b ₁ - b ₂)	-.47**	(.11)	.03	(.13)			.09	(.12)		
Curvature (b ₃ - b ₄ + b ₅)	.19	(.13)	.03	(.16)			.05	(.13)		
F for the 3 second order terms	.47		.14				1.06			

n = 141. Unstandardized regression coefficients and standard errors are reported. SO = significant other. Gender coded such that 0 = male and 1 = female. Marital status is coded such that 0 = married and 1 = not married. Model 1 represents the effect of our polynomial terms on balance satisfaction (α path in the mediation model). Models 2 and 4 represent the total effect of the polynomial terms on our downstream dependent variables (τ path in the mediation model). Models 3 and 5 represent the effect of the mediator on the downstream dependent variables controlling for the effect of the polynomial terms (β path in the mediation model).


† p < .10

* p < .05


** p < .01

FIGURE 1

Congruence and Incongruence Effects of Employee and SO Interrole Conflict with Employee Balance Satisfaction



1A. Congruence and incongruence effects for employee and SO FWC. X-axis is centered employee FWC. Y-axis is centered SO FWC. Z-axis is employee balance satisfaction.



1B. Congruence and incongruence effects for employee and SO WFC. X-axis is centered employee WFC. Y-axis is centered SO WFC. Z-axis is employee balance satisfaction.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Kelly Schwind Wilson (kellysw@purdue.edu) is an associate professor in the Management Department at Purdue University's Krannert School of Management. She received her Ph.D. from Michigan State University and B.A. from the University of Michigan. Her research focuses on the work-family interface as well as leader-member exchange.

Heidi M. Baumann (hbaumann@bradley.edu) is an assistant professor in the Management and Leadership Department at Bradley University's Foster College of Business. She received her Ph.D. from the Krannert School of Management at Purdue University. Her research interests include the work-family interface, performance management, and employee development.

Fadel K. Matta (fmatta@uga.edu) is an assistant professor in the Department of Management at the University of Georgia's Terry College of Business. He received his Ph.D. from Michigan State University, M.B.A. from the University of Notre Dame, and B.B.A. from Loyola University Chicago. His research focuses on organizational justice, leader-member exchange, and emotions in the workplace.

Remus Ilies (ilies@nus.edu.sg) is Provost's Chair and professor of management and organisation at The National University of Singapore. His research focuses on topics such as employee stress and well-being, work-family processes, and leadership and motivation, with a particular interest in understanding the role of emotional processes in explaining outcomes relevant to these research topics.

Ellen Ernst Kossek (ekossek@purdue.edu) is the Basil S. Turner Professor of Management in the Krannert School of Management and Research Director of the Susan Bulkily Butler at Purdue University. She received her Ph.D. in organizational behavior from Yale University. Her research interests include leader and organizational support of work-family-life relationships and flexibility, technology and work-life boundaries, and gender and diversity inclusion.